

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/829,474	04/09/2001	Douglas E. Chrzanowski	END919980129US2 8680		
7:	590 04/11/2005		EXAM	INER	
Steven Fischman			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
Scully Scott Me 400 Garden Cit	urphy and Presser y Plaza		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Garden City, N	Y 11530-0299		1771		
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)										
Advisory Action	09/829,474	CHRZANOWSKI ET	TAL.									
ration, rioden	Examiner	Art Unit										
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771	_									
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress									
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TO A string the series of the	oid abandonment of this application applic	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in									
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]											
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP									
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or									
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>												
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:											
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);												
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);												
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or												
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.												
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.												
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):												
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).												
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: JP			T place the									
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.												
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.												
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:												
Claim(s) allowed:												
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:												
						3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
						9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
	, , , , , , , , ,											
		elicabet	mode									
		Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771	_									

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment raises new issues since it is not clear what is meant by "essentially constituted". Does this correspond to comprising, consisting essentially of or consisting of. The proposed amendment also changes the scoe of the claims.